Analgesic, Respiratory and Heart Rate Effects of Cannabinoid and Opioid Agonists in Rhesus Monkeys: Antagonist Effects of SR 141716A^{1,2}

JEFFREY A. VIVIAN, SHIROH KISHIOKA,³ EDUARDO R. BUTELMAN,⁴ JILLIAN BROADBEAR, KATHERINE O. LEE and JAMES H. WOODS

Departments of Psychology and Pharmacology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan Accepted for publication April 9, 1998 This paper is available online at http://www.jpet.org

ABSTRACT

This study characterized the antinociceptive, respiratory and heart rate effects of the cannabinoid receptor agonists Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ -9-THC) and WIN 55212 {(*R*)-(+)-2,3-dihydro-5-methyl-3-[(4-morpholinyl)methyl]pyrol-[1,2,3-de]-1,4-benzoxazin-6-yl)(1-naphtalenyl)methanone monomethane-sulfonate}, N-arachidonyl ethanolamide (anandamide) and the *mu* and *kappa* opioid receptor agonists heroin and U69593, alone and in conjunction with a cannabinoid receptor antagonist, SR 141716A [N-(piperidin-1-1-yl)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-1(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboxamide hydrochloride] and an opioid receptor antagonist, quadazocine, in rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). Using 12 adult rhesus monkeys, latencies to remove the tail from a 50°C water bath, respiration in 5% CO₂ and heart rate were measured. When administered alone, SR 141716A (1.8, 5.6 mg/kg i.m.) did not

Acute administration of cannabinoid receptor agonists such as Δ -9-THC, WIN 55212 {(R)-(+)-2,3-dihydro-5-methyl-3-[(4-morpholinyl)methyl]pyrol-[1,2,3-de]-1,4-benzoxazin-6yl)(1-naphtalenyl)methanone monomethanesulfonate} and CP 55940 [(-)-cis-3-[2-hydroxy-4(1,1-dimethyl-heptyl)phenyl]-trans-4-(3-hydroxypropyl)cyclohexanol] reliably produces antinociception (Herzberg *et al.*, 1997; Pugh *et al.*, 1997) and discriminative effects (Wiley *et al.*, 1995a, 1995b), impairs tasks involving memory (Terranova *et al.*, 1996; Lichtman and Martin, 1996) and reduces locomotor activity (Compton *et al.*, 1996; Stark and Dews, 1980) and body temalter nociception, respiration or heart rate. Δ -9-THC (0.1–10 mg/kg i.m.) and WIN 55212 (0.1–10 mg/kg i.m.) dosedependently increased antinociception and dose-dependently decreased respiratory minute and tidal volumes and heart rate. These antinociceptive, respiratory and heart rate effects were reversed by SR 141716A but not by the opioid antagonist quadazocine (1 mg/kg i.m.). Anandamide (10 mg/kg i.m.) also produced antinociception. Heroin (0.01–10 mg/kg i.m.) and U69593 (0.01–3.2 mg/kg i.m.) also dose-dependently increased antinociception and decreased respiratory and heart rate measures; these effects were antagonized by quadazocine but not by SR 141716A. These results demonstrate selective and reversible antagonism of cannabinoid behavioral effects by SR 141716A in rhesus monkeys.

perature (Fan *et al.*, 1994) in rodents. Chronic administration of Δ -9-THC reveals the development of tolerance to the acute effects, and a withdrawal syndrome has been demonstrated (Aceto *et al.*, 1996; Tsou *et al.*, 1995).

Recently, a cannabinoid receptor antagonist has been synthesized and proved to be effective in reversing the effects of Δ -9-THC. In vivo, pretreatment with SR 141716A blocks the antinociceptive (Compton et al., 1996), discriminative stimulus (Wiley et al., 1995c, 1995d), memory impairing (Lichtman and Martin, 1996) and hypolocomotor effects produced by Δ -9-THC (Compton et al., 1996). SR 141716A also precipitates a withdrawal syndrome in rats treated chronically with Δ -9-THC (Aceto et al., 1996). In vitro, SR 141716A binds selectively to central cannabinoid receptors (CB1) with high affinity ($K_i = 2$ nM), and blocks the inhibitory effects of cannabinoid receptor agonists in the mouse vas deferens, dopamine-stimulated adenylyl cyclase (Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1994) and WIN 55212-stimulated GTP γ S binding (Selley et al., 1996).

Many of the previous *in vivo* investigations involving compounds targeting cannabinoid receptors have been performed

Received for publication November 4, 1997.

¹ This work was supported by United States Public Health Service Grants DA00254, DA07268, DA05773 and GM07767.

 $^{^2}$ Animals used in these studies were maintained in accordance with the University of Michigan Committee on Animal Care and Guidelines of the Committee on the Care and Use of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Health Council (Department of Health, Education and Welfare, ISBN 0-309-05377-3, revised 1996).

 ³ Present address: Department of Pharmacology, Wakayama Medical College, 9-Banch 27, Wakayama-city, Wakayama 640, Japan.
⁴ Present address: Box 171, Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenure,

 $^{^4}$ Present address: Box 171, Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenure, New York, NY 10021.

in nonprimate species. The objectives of the current experiments were (1) to more fully characterize the antinociceptive, respiratory and heart rate effects of the cannabinoid receptor agonists Δ -9-THC and WIN 55212 and (2) to evaluate the ability and selectivity of SR 141716A to block the antinociceptive, respiratory and heart rate effects of the cannabinoid agonists Δ -9-THC and WIN 55212 and the opioid receptor agonists heroin and U69593 in rhesus monkeys.

Methods

Subjects

Twelve adult rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta) with complex experimental and drug histories were individually housed with free access to water in a vivarium maintained at $21 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, 30% to 50% humidity and a 12:12-hr light/dark cycle. Monkeys were fed ~ 30 biscuits (Purina Monkey Chow) daily and fresh fruit twice weekly.

Apparatus and Procedure

Warm water tail withdrawal assay. Monkeys (n = 6) were seated in primate chairs, and the lower 10 cm of the shaved tail was immersed in a flask containing water maintained at either 40°, 50° or 55°C (Dykstra and Woods, 1986). Tail withdrawal latencies were timed manually, and a maximum latency of 20 sec was allowed to prevent tissue damage. Noninjection base-line withdrawal latencies were determined at each water temperature in a random order among the monkeys. Subsequent to base-line determinations, drugs were administered using a cumulative dosing procedure, with ascending doses of a test compound being administered at 30- (opioids) or 60- (cannabinoids) min intervals. Tail withdrawal latencies were determined at each of the three water temperatures in a random fashion ~ 25 (opioids) or ~ 55 (cannabinoids) min after drug administration. In antagonist studies, quadazocine or SR 141716A were administered 30 or 60 min before agonist administration, respectively.

Respiration and heart rate. Monkeys (n = 6) were seated in primate chairs and placed in ventilated, sound-attenuating primate chambers with custom-made polycarbonate respiratory helmets placed over their head (Howell et al., 1988). The helmet was sealed around the neck of the monkeys with two polycarbonate shields. Gas (air or a mixture of 5% CO_2 in air) was pumped through the helmet and removed at a rate of 10 liters/min. Pressure changes and displacement within the helmet were detected with a pressure transducer and integrator connected to a polygraph (Grass models 7E and 7P122E), respectively, and the data were recorded on a polygraph trace and a PC-compatible computer. Respiratory data included f, $V_{\rm e}$ and $V_{+}(V_{-}/f)$ and were obtained for consecutive 3-min periods.

Sessions consisted of several consecutive cycles, with each cycle composed of a 23-min air exposure followed by a 7-min 5% CO₂ exposure (opioids) or a 53-min air exposure followed by a 7-min 5% CO₂ exposure (cannabinoids). Noninjection base-line respiration measures were determined; subsequently, vehicle and drugs were administered at the beginning of each cycle using a cumulative dosing procedure. In antagonist experiments, quadazocine or SR 141716A were administered 30 and 60 min before agonist administration, respectively.

Heart rate data were collected concurrently with respiration data. Monkeys were connected to electrocardiogram electrodes connected to a polygraph trace, from which the heart rate was calculated graphically.

Drugs

 Δ -9-THC (National Institute for Drug Abuse, Rockville, MD), WIN 55212 (Sterling Winthrop, Rensselaer, NY), N-arachidonyl-ethanolamine (anandamide; Organix, Woburn, MA) and SR 141716A (Sanofi Recherche, Montpellier, France) were dissolved in a vehicle contain-

ing emulphor, ethanol and distilled water (1:1:9). Heroin (National Institute for Drug Abuse), U69593 (Upjohn, Kalamazoo, MI), and quadazocine methanesulfate (Sterling Winthrop) were dissolved in distilled water. Pilot work revealed that tolerance developed to the antinociceptive effects of the cannabinoids rapidly; for this reason, cannabinoid test compounds were not administered more than once every 3 weeks. All drugs were administered intramuscularly at a volume of 0.1 ml/kg b.wt.

Data Analysis

Tail-withdrawal data were converted to percent maximum possible effect (% MPE) with the calculation: % MPE = $100 \times [(test$ latency - control latency)/(cutoff latency - control latency)]. Respiratory data were from the last 3-min exposure to air and 5% CO₂ and heart rate data were from the last 3-min exposure to air, during each cycle was converted to percent of vehicle control, and all data were analyzed with a one-factor (dose) repeated-measures ANOVA. When significant effects were demonstrated, post-hoc Dunnett's t tests were performed. The α value was .05 (two-tailed).

Results

Antinociception. The cannabinoid receptor agonists Δ -9-THC and WIN 55212 and the *mu* and *kappa* opioid receptor agonists heroin and U69593 dose-dependently increased the latency to remove the tail from a 50° and 55°C water bath (figs. 1 and 2). In 50°C water, Δ -9-THC and WIN 55212 produced a 71% and 79% MPE [Δ -9-THC: F(4,8) = 5.49, P < .05; WIN 55212: F(3,6) = 11.2, P < .05], whereas both heroin and U69593 produced a 100% MPE [heroin: F(5,10) = 11.4, P < .05; U69593: F(6,12) = 11449.0, P < .05], respectively. Base-line tail-withdrawal latencies are presented in table 1.

In 55°C water, the cannabinoids were less effective in producing antinociception than they were at 50°C and compared with the effects of the opioids at 55°C. At the highest doses tested, Δ -9-THC (3.2 mg/kg) and WIN 55212 (1 mg/kg) produced a 15% and 9% MPE [Δ -9-THC: F(4,8) = 6.02, P < .05; WIN 55212: F(3,6) = 7.65, P < .05], respectively. In contrast, the opioids were effective in abolishing the tailwithdrawal reflex [heroin: F(5,10) = 600.0, P < .05; U69593: F(6,12) = 26.9, P < .05].

In the presence of their respective antagonists (cannabinoids: SR 141716A, opioids: guadazocine), the antinociceptive potencies of Δ -9-THC, WIN 55212, heroin and U69593 were reduced. After SR 141716A (1.8 mg/kg) pretreatment, Δ -9-THC did not reliably produce antinociception, and the antinociceptive effects of WIN 55212 were shifted approximately one-half log unit to the right at 50° C [F(4,8) = 10.1, P < .05] and 55°C [F(4,8) = 7.83, P < .05]. In the presence of SR 141716A (5.6 mg/kg), WIN 55212 did not produce antinociception. In contrast, SR 141716A (1.8 mg/kg) did not alter the antinociceptive effects of heroin or U69593; heroin and U69593 dose-dependently increased tail-withdrawal latencies at 50°C [F(5,10) = 12.5, P < .05; F(4,8) = 30.0, P < .05,respectively] and 55°C [F(5,10) = 1340.0, P < .05; F(4,8) =259.5, P < .05, respectively].

The opioid antagonist quadazocine (1 mg/kg) did not alter the antinociceptive effects of Δ -9-THC. In the presence of the quadazocine (0.1 mg/kg), heroin dose-dependently increased tail-withdrawal latencies $[50^{\circ}C: F(5,10) = 10.7, P < .05;$ 55° C: F(5,10) = 4.7, P < .05], albeit at a reduced potency. In the presence of quadazocine (1 mg/kg), U69593 dose-dependently increased tail-withdrawal latencies (50°C: F(6,12) =

1998



Fig. 1. Antinociceptive effects of the cannabinoid receptor agonists Δ -9-THC (A) and WIN 55212 (B) in 50° and 55°C. Each value represents the mean (n = 3), and error bars represent 1 S.E.M. *, Significant differences (P < .05) from control.

55.7, P < .05; 55°C: F(6,12) = 786.4, P < .05], also at a reduced potency. Neither SR 141716A nor quadazocine altered base-line tail-withdrawal latencies when administered alone at these doses (data not shown).

In a separate time course study, the initial exposure to the putative endogenous cannabinoid agonist anandamide (10 mg/kg i.m.) produced a 100% MPE 30 to 60 min after administration in 50°C [F(12,24) = 7.44, P < .05]. In subsequent weekly anandamide challenges (1, 3.2 and 5.6 mg/kg), modest but not dose-related antinociceptive effects (<35% MPE) were observed. Anandamide (10 mg/kg) administered 4 weeks after the initial 10 mg/kg administration failed to produce an antinociceptive effect. Anandamide did not alter tail-withdrawal latencies in 55°C at any time point tested.

Respiration. Increased respiratory f, V_t and V_e during 5% CO_2 breathing were observed and are depicted in table 1. Drug effects on respiratory measures during air/5% CO_2

breathing were similar; only the respiratory data during 5% CO_2 challenges are presented. Δ -9-THC, WIN 55212, heroin and U69593 dose-dependently decreased Vt and Ve and did not produce reliable decreases in f (figs. 3 and 4). At the highest doses tested, Δ -9-THC produced a 64% suppression of V_t [1 mg/kg; F(4,8) = 6.16, P < .05], WIN 55212 produced a 40% [1 mg/kg; F(3,6) = 25.8, P < .05], heroin produced a 40%suppression of V_t [0.32 mg/kg; F(4,8) = 19.0, P < .05] and U69593 produced a 42% suppression of V_t [0.32 mg/kg; F(5,10) = 18.4, P < .05]. Similarly, Δ -9-THC produced a 60% suppression of V_e [F(4,8) = 9.47, P < .05], WIN 55212 produced a 40% suppression of V_e [F(3,6) = 16.0, P < .05], heroin produced a 40% suppression of V_e [F(4,8) = 40.2, P < .05] and U69593 produced a 43% suppression of V_{e} [F(5,10) = 10.6, P < .05]. Respiratory (and heart rate) effects of an and a mide were not evaluated.

In the presence of their respective antagonists, the respi-



Fig. 2. Antinociceptive effects of the opioid receptor agonists heroin (A) and U69593 (B) in 50° and 55°C. Each value represents the mean (n = 3), and error bars represent 1 S.E.M. *, Significant differences (P < .05) from control. Note: In 55°C, the antinociceptive effects of heroin alone were similar to those in the presence of SR 141716A (top right).

TABLE 1

Control values for tail-withdrawal latencies, respiratory frequency, tidal, minute volumes and heart rate before drug administration Each value represents the mean (n = 3) and S.E.M.

			Respiration ^{b,c,d}						Heart $Rate^{e}$
Drug	Antinociception ^a		Air			5% CO ₂			
	$50^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	$55^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	f	Vt	Ve	f	Vt	Ve	
Delta-9-THC WIN 55212 Heroin	$egin{array}{c} 1.8 \pm 0.2 \ 1.5 \pm 0.1 \ 1.7 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \pm 0.1 \\ 0.9 \pm 0.1 \\ 0.9 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$25 \pm 2 \\ 26 \pm 2 \\ 25 \pm 1$	$112 \pm 20 \\ 116 \pm 10 \\ 110 \pm 20$	$2688 \pm 337 \\ 2986 \pm 412 \\ 2741 \pm 510$	$36 \pm 4 \\ 34 \pm 2 \\ 36 \pm 4$	$191 \pm 7 \\ 180 \pm 9 \\ 186 \pm 13$	$6920 \pm 576 \\ 6359 \pm 402 \\ 6684 \pm 352$	204 ± 7 191 ± 4 216 ± 8
U 69593 Overall Mean	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3 \pm 0.1 \\ 1.6 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \pm 0.1 \\ 1.0 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$28 \pm 3 \\ 26 \pm 1$	$102 \pm 6 \\ 110 \pm 3$	$2843 \pm 224 \\ 2815 \pm 66$	$\begin{array}{c} 37 \pm 1 \\ 36 \pm 1 \end{array}$	$190 \pm 14 \\ 187 \pm 2$	$\begin{array}{r} 7071 \pm 462 \\ 6786 \pm 155 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 228\pm2\\ 210\pm8 \end{array}$

Antinociception latencies are expressed in sec.

^b Frequencies are expressed in breaths/min.

^c Tidal volumes are expressed in ml/breath.

^d Minute volumes are expressed in ml/min.

^e Heart rates are expressed in beats/min.



Fig. 3. Respiratory suppressant effects of Δ -9-THC (A) and WIN 55212 (B). Each value represents the mean (n = 3), and error bars represent 1 S.E.M. *, Significant differences (P < .05) from control.

ratory suppressant effects of Δ -9-THC, WIN 55212, heroin and U69593 were reduced. After SR 141716A (1.8 mg/kg) pretreatment, $\Delta\mbox{-9-THC}$ dose-dependently decreased $V_{\rm t}$ and V_e , now requiring a dose of 10 mg/kg to produce a 27% and 39% suppression of these measures, respectively $[V_t: F(4,8) =$ 6.16, P < .05; V_e : F(4,8) = 9.47, P > .05]. Two doses of SR 141716A were evaluated in conjunction with WIN 55212. SR 141716A (0.56 and 1.8 mg/kg) produced a dose-related inhibition of WIN 55212 respiratory depressant effects. After pretreatment with the lower dose of SR 141716A, WIN 55212 (1 mg/kg) produced a 20% suppression of V_t [F(3,6) = 5.94, P < .05] and a 29% suppression of V_e [F(3,6) = 15.9, P < .05], and pretreatment with the higher dose of SR 141716A abolished the ability of WIN 55212 to suppress these respiratory measures. In contrast, SR 141716A (1.8 mg/kg) did not alter the respiratory suppressant effects of heroin or U69593; heroin and U69593 dose-dependently decreased V_t [F(4,8) = 6.08, P < .05; F(5,10) = 5.17, P < .05, respectively] and $V_{\rm e}$ [F(4,8) = 9.98, P < .05; F(5,10) = 4.48, P < .05, respectively].Quadazocine (1 mg/kg) did not alter the respiratory sup-

pressant effects of Δ -9-THC or WIN 55212. In the presence of

quadazocine, Δ -9-THC and WIN 55212 dose-dependently decreased V_t [F(4,8) = 8.92, P < .05; F(3,6) = 9.34, P < .05, respectively] and V_e [F(4,8) = 34.3, P < .05; F(3,6) = 21.1, P < .05, respectively]. After pretreatment with quadazocine (0.1 mg/kg), the potency of heroin to reduce V_t and V_e was decreased [F(4,8) = 4.95, P < .05; F(4,8) = 7.33, P < .05,respectively]. Similarly, pretreatment with quadazocine (1 mg/kg) reduced the potency of U69593 to decrease $V_{\rm t}$ [F(5,10) = 9.12, P < .05] and V_e (P = N.S.). Neither SR 141716A nor quadazocine altered base-line respiratory measures (data not shown).

Heart rate. Δ -9-THC dose-dependently decreased heart rate (fig. 5). At the highest doses tested, Δ -9-THC and WIN 55212 reduced heart rate to 70% of control [Δ -9-THC: F(4,8) = 11.6, P < .05; WIN 55212; P = N.S.], and heroin and U69593 reduced heart rate to 80% of control [heroin: F(4,8) =4.03, P < .05; U69593: P = N.S.].

SR 141716A (1.8 mg/kg), but not quadazocine (1 mg/kg), reduced the potency of Δ -9-THC to reduce heart rate [F(5,10) = 3.52, P < .05; F(4,8) = 30.3, P < .05, respectively].In contrast, quadazocine (0.1 mg/kg), but not SR 141716A



Fig. 4. Respiratory suppressant effects of heroin (A) and U69593 (B). Each value represents the mean (n = 3), and error bars represent 1 S.E.M. *, Significant differences (P < .05) from control.

(1.8 mg/kg), reversed the suppressive effects of heroin on heart rate [F(5,10) = n.s.; F(4,8) = 3.61, P < .05, respectively]. Neither SR 141716A nor quadazocine altered the baseline heart rate (data not shown).

Discussion

The current experiments reveal that cannabinoid receptor agonists such as Δ -9-THC, WIN 55212 and anandamide produce antinociception and suppress respiratory function and heart rate. These effects were reversed with the cannabinoid receptor antagonist SR 141716A but not the opioid receptor antagonist quadazocine, thus revealing a cannabinoid receptor mechanism of action. Despite data that support the proposal that cannabinoid and opioid systems may modulate common behavioral events (e.g., antinociception; Reche et al., 1996a; Welch, 1994), the current data were ineffective in revealing such an interaction.

The antinociceptive effects of acutely administered cannabinoid receptor agonists are quite reliable. Previously, Δ -9-



Fig. 5. Cardiovascular effects of Δ -9-THC (A), WIN 55212 (B), heroin (C) and U69593 (D). Each value represents the mean (n = 3), and error bars represent 1 S.E.M. *, Significant differences (P < .05) from control.

1

10

THC, WIN 55212, CP 55940 and anandamide were effective in increasing tail-flick latencies and reducing stretch reflexes induced by *p*-phenylquinone in rats and mice (Herzberg *et al.*, 1997; Pugh *et al.*, 1997; Compton *et al.*, 1996; Smith *et al.*, 1994). Similarly, these agonists produced antinociception in rhesus monkeys, yet their efficacy as antinociceptive agents was limited. As observed in the current experiment, all three of the tested cannabinoids failed to produce an antinociceptive effect against more intense thermal stimuli, specifically 55°C water. This is in contrast to heroin and U69593, which produced 100% MPE values at the highest doses tested. Pretreatment with SR 141716A reduced the antinociceptive potency of Δ -9-THC and WIN 55212, but not heroin and U69593, providing further *in vivo* evidence that SR 141716A is an effective and selective cannabinoid receptor antagonist.

The interaction of antinociceptive effects produced by cannabinoids and opioids in mice is well documented. The mu opioid receptor agonists morphine and DAMGO produced parallel and leftward shifts in the antinociceptive effects produced by Δ -9-THC (Reche *et al.*, 1996a), and the administration of Δ -9-THC decreased the antinociceptive ED₅₀ values produced by morphine (Pugh et al., 1997; Welch and Stevens, 1992). Furthermore, dynorphin antisera, a kappa opioid antisense oligonucleotide, and the kappa opioid receptor antagonist norbinal torphamine blocked Δ -9-THC antinociception in rodents (Pugh et al., 1995, 1997; Reche et al., 1996b). SR 141716A has been found to inhibit morphine antinociception (Compton et al., 1996). In the current experiments, there was no evidence for a cannabinoid/opioid interaction because SR 141716A failed to alter the antinociception produced by heroin or U69593 and quadazocine, at a dose that targeted both mu and kappa receptor types, failed to alter the antinociceptive effects of Δ -9-THC. Previously, failure of nonselective opioid antagonists to alter cannabinoidinduced antinociception has been demonstrated, and interactions between opioids and cannabinoids have been most evident through the use of selective kappa antagonists and intrathecal administration of cannabinoid agonists (Welch et al., 1995; Welch, 1994).

In humans, Δ -9-THC produced inconsistent effects on respiratory measures: decreased tidal volume (Johnstone et al., 1975), no change in tidal volume (Malit et al., 1975) and increased respiration rate (Mathew et al., 1992) have been observed. In rats, Δ -9-THC and Δ -8-THC dose-dependently decreased respiration rate (Estrada et al., 1987), yet cannabinoid receptor agonist effects on respiration in rhesus monkeys are undocumented. In the current experiments, both Δ -9-THC and WIN 55212 produced dose-related decreases in minute and tidal volume, while not affecting respiratory frequency. These respiratory suppressant effects were prevented by SR 141716A, but not quadazocine, revealing a cannabinoid mechanism of action. Similar to the results from the antinociceptive experiments (described above), there were no indications of an interaction between the cannabinoid and opioid systems influencing respiratory function in that quadazocine did not alter cannabinoid respiratory effects and SR 141716A failed to change the respiratory effects of both heroin and U69593.

Heart rate effects of Δ -9-THC are robust in rats and humans, although the direction of the effect diverges. In humans, smoked marijuana produced tachycardia, and this increased heart rate was further demonstrated after oral

administration of Δ -9-THC (e.g., Chait and Burke, 1994; Zacny and Chait, 1992). In rats, bradycardia was observed after cannabinoid administration: Δ -9-THC, Δ -8-THC and HU-210 [(-)-11-OH-Δ-8-tetrahydrocannabinol-dimethylheptyl] decreased the heart rate in rats (Vidrio et al., 1996; Estrada et al., 1987; Hine et al., 1977). In monkeys, increased and decreased heart rates have been observed after the administration of Δ -9-THC. When administered intraperitoneally, Δ -9-THC (0.75–4 mg/kg) dose-dependently decreased heart rate, producing a maximal (40%) suppression at the highest dose tested (Matsuzaki et al., 1987). Conversely, when administered intravenously, Δ -9-THC (0.5 mg/kg) produced an increase in heart rate (Fredericks et al., 1981). In the current experiment, intramuscular Δ -9-THC and WIN 55212 dose-dependently decreased heart rate, an effect that was antagonized in the presence of SR 141716A, suggesting cannabinoid receptor mediation. Whether the route of administration is an important factor for the heart rate effects of Δ -9-THC remains unknown. Although quadazocine failed to alter the heart rate effect of Δ -9-THC, there is evidence that cross-tolerance develops to the THC- and morphine-induced decrease in heart rate after a regimen of morphine (50 mg/ kg/day \times 23 days) or Δ -9-THC (10 mg/kg/day \times 7 days; Hine, 1985). The use of selective opioid antagonists in conjunction with acutely or chronically administered cannabinoids might help to further illuminate cannabinoid-opioid interactions on heart rate.

The current experiments demonstrated the ability of SR 141716A to selectively block cannabinoid antinociceptive, respiratory and heart rate effects in rhesus monkeys. In general, each of the tested agonists (cannabinoids and opioids) produced dose-related effects that were reversed through the use of the appropriate antagonist. SR 141716A is an important tool in the understanding of cannabinoid pharmacology and neurobiology, and its apparent affinity for the central cannabinoid receptor (CB1; Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1996, 1995) will help to elucidate central and peripheral actions of cannabinoid receptor systems. Although the neurobiology of cannabinoids is becoming better understood, it must be noted that the effectiveness of Δ -9-THC as an analgesic agent is limited. Most important, Δ -9-THC is not particularly effective as an analgesic against more intense pain, and untoward side effects, including respiratory suppression and the rapid development of tolerance, are manifest at doses that produce analgesic effects.

References

- Aceto MD, Scates SM, Lowe JA and Martin BR (1996) Dependence on delta-9tetrahydrocannabinol: Studies on precipitated and abrupt withdrawal. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 278:1290-1295.
- Compton DR, Aceto MD, Lowe J and Martin BR (1996) In vivo characterization of a specific cannabinoid receptor antagonist (SR 141716A): Inhibition of delta-9tetrahydrocannabinol-induced responses and apparent agonist affinity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 277:586-594.
- Chait LD and Burke KA (1994) Preference for high-versus low-potency marijuana. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 49:643-647.
- Dykstra LA and Woods JH (1986) A tail withdrawal procedure for assessing analgesic activity in rhesus monkeys. J Pharmacol Methods 15:263–269.
- Estrada U, Brase DA, Martin BR and Dewey WL (1987) Cardiovascular effects of delta-9- and delta-9(11)-tetrahydrocannabinol and their interaction with epinephrine. *Life Sci* 41:79-87.
- Fan F, Compton DR, Ward S, Melvin L and Martin BR (1994) Development of cross-tolerance between delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol, CP 55940 and WIN 55212. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 271:1383-1390.
- Fredericks AB, Benowitz NL and Savanapridi CY (1981) The cardiovascular and autonomic effects of repeated administration of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol to rhesus monkeys. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 216:247–253.
- Herzberg U, Eliav E, Bennett GJ and Kopin IJ (1997) The analgesic effects of

R(+)-WIN 55212-2 mesylate, a high affinity cannabinoid agonist, in a rat model of neuropathic pain. *Neurosci Lett* 221:157-160.

- Hine B (1985) Morphine and delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol: Two-way cross tolerance for antinociceptive and heart-rate responses in the rat. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 87:34–38.
- Hine B, Toreelio M and Gershon S (1977) Analgesic, heart rate, and temperature effects of delta-8-THC during acute and chronic administration to conscious rats. *Pharmacology* 15:63–72.
- Howell LL, Bergman J and Morse WH (1988) Effects of levorphanol and several kappa-selective opioids on respiration and behavior in rhesus monkeys. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 245:364-372.
- Johnstone RE, Lief PL, Kulp RA and Smith TC (1975) Combination of delta-9tetrahydrocannabinol with oxymorphone or pentobarbital: Effects on ventilatory control and cardiovascular dynamics. *Anesthesiology* 42:674-684.
- Lichtman AH and Martin BR (1996) Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol impairs spatial memory through a cannabinoid receptor mechanism. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 126:125–1313.
- Malit LA, Johnstone RE, Bourke DI, Kulp RA, Klein V and Smith TC (1975) Intravenous delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol: Effects of ventilatory control and cardiovascular dynamics. Anesthesiology 42:666-673.
- Mathew RJ, Wilson WH, Humphreys DF, Lowe JV and Wiethe KE (1992) Regional cerebral blood flow after marijuana smoking. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 12:750-758.
- Matsuzaki M, Casella GA and Ratner M (1987) Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol: EEG changes, bradycardia and hypothermia in the rhesus monkey. *Brain Res Bull* 19:223-229.
- Pugh G Jr, Abood ME and Welch SP (1995) Antisense oligodeoxynucleotides to the kappa-1 receptor block the antinociceptive effects of delta-9-THC in the spinal cord. Brain Res 689:157-158.
- Pugh G Jr, Mason DJ Jr, Combs V and Welch SP (1997) Involvement of dynorphin B in the antinociceptive effects of the cannabinoid CP 55940 in the spinal cord. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 281:730-737.
- Reche I, Fuentes JA and Ruiz-Gayo M (1996a) Potentiation of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol-induced analgesia by morphine in mice: Involvement of mu- and kappa-opioid receptors. Eur J Pharmacol 318:11–16.
- Reche I, Fuentes JA and Ruiz-Gayo M (1996b) A role for central cannabinoid and opioid systems in peripheral delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol-induced analgesia in mice. Eur J Pharmacol 301:75–81.
- Rinaldi-Carmona M, Pialot F, Congy C, Redon E, Barth F, Bachy A, Breliere JC, Soubrie P and Le Fur G (1996) Characterization and distribution of binding sites for [³H]-SR 141716A, a selective brain (CB1) cannabinoid receptor antagonist, in rodent brain. *Life Sci* 58:1239–1247.
- Rinaldi-Carmona M, Barth F, Heaulme M, Alonso R, Shire D, Congy C, Soubrie PBreliere JC and Le Fur G (1995) Biochemical and pharmacological characterisation of SR 141716A, the first potent and selective brain cannabinoid receptor antagonist. *Life Sci* 56:1941–1947.
- Rinaldi-Carmona M, Barth F, Heaulme M, Shire D, Calandra B, Congy C, Martinez

S, Maruani J, Neliat G and Caput D (1994) SR 141716A, a potent and selective antagonist of the brain cannabinoid receptor. *FEBS Lett* **350**:240–244.

- Selley DE, Stark S, Sim LJ and Childers SR (1996) Cannabinoid receptor stimulation of guanosine-5'-O-(3-[³⁵S]thio)triphosphate binding in rat brain membranes. *Life Sci* 59:59-668.
- Smith PB, Compton DR, Welch SP, Razdan RK, Mechoulam R and Martin BR (1994) The pharmacological activity of anandamide, a putative endogenous cannabinoid, in mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 270:219-227.
- Stark P and Dews PB (1980) Cannabinoids. I. Behavioral effects. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 214:124–130.
- Terranova JP, Storme JJ, Lafon N, Perio A, Rinaldi-Carmona M, Le Fur G and Soubrie P (1996) Improvement of memory in rodents by the selective CB1 cannabinoid receptor antagonist, SR 141716. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 126:165–172.
- Tsou K, Patrick SL and Walker JM (1995) Physical withdrawal in rats tolerant to delta-9-tetrahydro cannabinol precipitated by a cannabinoid receptor antagonist. *Eur J Pharmacol* 280:R13–R15.
- Vidrio H, Sanchez-Salvatori MA and Medina M (1996) Cardiovascular effects of (-)-11-OH-delta 8-tetrahydrocannabinol-dimethylheptyl in rats. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 28:332-336.
- Welch SP (1994) Blockade of cannabinoid-induced antinociception by naloxone benzoylhydrazone (NalBZH). Pharmacol Biochem Behav 49:929-934.
- Welch SP, Dunlow LD, Patrick GS and Razdan RK (1995) Characterization of anandamide- and fluoranandamide-induced antinociception and cross-tolerance to delta-9-THC after intratheeal administration to mice: Blockade of delta-9-THCinduced antinociception. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 273:1235-1244.
- Welch SP and Stevens DL (1992) Antinociceptive activity of intrathecally administered cannabinoids alone, and in combination with morphine, in mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 262:10–18.
- Wiley JL, Balster R and Martin B (1995b) Discriminative stimulus effects of anandamide in rats. Eur J Pharmacol 276:49-54.
- Wiley JL, Barrett RL, Lowe J, Balster RL and Martin BR (1995c) Discriminative stimulus effects of CP 55940 and structurally dissimilar cannabinoids in rats. *Neuropharmacology* 34:669-676.
- Wiley JL, Huffman JW, Balster RL and Martin BR (1995a) Pharmacological specificity of the discriminative stimulus effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in rhesus monkeys. Drug Alcohol Depend 40:81–86.
- Wiley JL, Lowe JA, Balster RL and Martin BR (1995d) Antagonism of the discriminative stimulus effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in rats and rhesus monkeys. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 275:1-6.
- Zacny JP and Chait LD (1992) Response to marijuana as a function of potency and breathhold duration. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 103:223-226.

Send reprint requests to: Dr. J. A. Vivian, Department of Pharmacology, University of Michigan Medical School, 1301 MSRB III, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-0632. E-mail: jvivian@umich.edu