LY320135, a Novel Cannabinoid CB1 Receptor Antagonist, Unmasks Coupling of the CB1 Receptor to Stimulation of cAMP Accumulation
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ABSTRACT

LY320135 is a selective antagonist for the brain CB1 receptor, having greater than 70-fold higher affinity for the CB1 than the peripheral CB2 receptor. The \( K_i \) values for LY320135 at the CB1 and CB2 receptors, transfected and stably expressed in cell lines, were 224 nM and \( > 10 \mu M \), respectively. Similar \( K_i \) values were measured in binding studies performed on cerebellum and spleen membrane preparations endogenously expressing the CB1 (203 nM) and CB2 (\( > 10 \mu M \)) receptors, respectively. LY320135 functionally reversed anandamide-mediated adenylate cyclase inhibition in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells stably expressing the CB1 receptor. Pertussis toxin treatment of CHO cells expressing the CB1 receptor attenuated the anandamide-mediated inhibition of adenylate cyclase and unmasked a stimulatory effect of anandamide on adenylate cyclase. The stimulatory component was blocked with LY320135. This compound also blocked WIN 55212–2–mediated inhibition of N-type calcium channels and activation of inwardly rectifying potassium channels in N18 and AtT-20-CB2 cells, respectively. LY320135 is a promising lead compound for the further development of novel, potent and selective cannabinoid antagonists of novel structure.

Marijuana has been used for recreational and medicinal purposes for centuries. The wide appeal of this drug lies predominantly in its ability to alter mood and behavior. The therapeutic effects of marijuana are surprisingly broad and include its ability to act as an antiemetic, anti-inflammatory, antiglaucoma, analgesic and appetite-enhancing agent. These diverse physiological effects are mediated by the active principal in marijuana, THC, for which specific receptors were identified in the brain (Devane et al., 1988). Three subtypes of cannabinoid receptors, CB1 (Matsuda et al., 1990), CB1A (Shire et al., 1995) and CB2 (Munro et al., 1993), have been identified, cloned and sequenced to date and are members of the superfamily of G-protein-coupled receptors. CB1 receptors are primarily located in the central nervous system. The CB1 receptor is functionally linked to the inhibition of adenylate cyclase (Howlett et al., 1988; Felder et al., 1992), and more recently has been shown to inhibit N- and Q-type voltage-dependent calcium channels (Mackie and Hille, 1992; Caufield and Brown, 1992; Mackie et al., 1995) and to stimulate an inwardly rectifying potassium current (\( K_{\text{ir}} \) current) (Mackie et al., 1995). Based on the high abundance of CB1 receptors in hippocampus, cerebellum and basal ganglia (Herkenham et al., 1991), and the well known behavioral effects of cannabinoid agonists (Mechoulam, 1986), it is likely that this receptor regulates short-term memory, coordination of movement and emotions. The CB2 receptor is found predominantly in hematopoietic cells but not as yet in the brain (Munro et al., 1993; Lynn and Herkenham, 1994) and has been shown to couple to the inhibition of adenylate cyclase, but not to Q-type calcium channels or \( K_{\text{ir}} \) current (Felder et al., 1995). The CB2 receptor may mediate some of the peripheral effects of THC, such as immunosuppression (Martin, 1986). High concentrations of THC can also activate signal transduction pathways through cannabinoid receptor-independent mechanisms (Felder et al., 1992). The discovery of brain receptors for THC led to the discovery of the endogenous cannabinoid agonist anandamide (Devane et

ABBREVIATIONS: THC, (−)-\( \Delta^2 \)-tetrahydrocannabinol; CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; HEPES, N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid; EGTA, ethyleneglycol-bis(\( \beta \)-aminoethyl ether)-N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid.
Materials and Methods

CP 55,940 was generously provided by Dr. Larry Melvin (Pfizer Inc., Groton, CT); WIN 55212–2 by Dr. Susan Ward (Sterling-Winthrop Research Institute, Malvern, PA); HU-210 by Dr. Raphael Mechoulam (Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel) and (−Δ9-THC) by the National Institute on Drug Abuse. Anandamide was obtained from Biomol (Plymouth Meeting, PA). All other reagents were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (Saint Louis, MO). All assays were performed in glass test tubes which were treated by exposure to dimethyldichlorosilane vapor under vacuum overnight.

Expression of CB1 and CB2 receptors, plasma membrane preparation and radioligand binding assays. CB1 and CB2 cDNA were stably transfected and expressed in either CHO cells or L cells as described previously (Felder et al., 1995). Plasma membranes were prepared from murine fibroblast L cells, CHO-CB2 cells, rat cerebellum and rat spleen as described previously (Felder et al., 1995). Competition binding assays were performed with 0.5 nM 3H-CP 55,940 as the labeled ligand. Radioligand binding of cannabinoid agonists was measured by a previously described rapid filtration assay (Felder et al., 1993). Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride was included where indicated to inhibit the degradation of anandamide during radioligand binding experiments (Deutsch and Chin, 1993; Childers et al., 1994). Binding assays for data shown in table 1 were performed as described previously (Foreman et al., 1992). The Ki values for competition binding experiments and EC50 values for the inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation were calculated by nonlinear regression analysis of the primary data with the computer software Graph Pad (San Diego, CA). Levels of cAMP accumulation were measured by radioimmunoassay as described previously (Felder et al., 1992).

Electrophysiological recordings. N18 cells were cultured as described previously (Mackie et al., 1993) and were used for measurement of potassium currents. AtT-20-CB1 cells were cultured as described previously and were used to measure control currents in triplicate (1–2 ml/min) with the appropriate external solution. Solution reservoirs were alternated whenever possible and concurrent controls were always performed. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean.

Results

LY320135 is a substituted benzofuran which is structurally distinct from the aminoalkylindole and pyrazole type cannabinoid antagonists, AM630 and SR141716A, respectively (fig. 1). LY320135 was selected from a series of related compounds as having the highest affinity for the CB1 receptor. The ability of LY320135 to compete for 3H-CP55,940 binding was evaluated at the cannabinoid CB1 and CB2 receptors (fig. 2). The Ki values for LY320135 are listed in table 1 and are compared with the Ki values for the cannabinoid antagonist, SR141716A, and selected cannabinoid agonists. LY320135 was essentially equipotent for binding to the CB1 receptor ectopically expressed in L cells (CB1) and to rat cerebellum (an endogenous source of CB1 receptors) (fig. 2) and completely displaced 3H-CP55,940 binding at saturating concentrations of antagonist.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antagonists</th>
<th>IC50 nM</th>
<th>Kmax nM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-CB1</td>
<td>CHO-CB2</td>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt; Ratio (CB2/CB1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LY320135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141 ± 4</td>
<td>14,900 ± 403</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR141716A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>13,200 ± 700</td>
<td>1119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agonists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU210</td>
<td>0.0060 ± 0.0070</td>
<td>0.524 ± 0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIN55212-2</td>
<td>62.3 ± 31</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anandamide</td>
<td>543 ± 83</td>
<td>1940 ± 240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potassium currents were measured with a pipette solution containing (mM): 130 KCl; 20 HEPES; 10 EGTA; 5 MgCl₂; 3 Na₂ATP; 0.6 GTP; 0.08 leupeptin; pH 7.25 with KOH. The external solution contained (mM): 40 KCl; 110 NaCl; 1 CaCl₂; 25 HEPES; 10 glucose, pH 7.35 with NaOH. Fatty acid-free bovine serum albumin (3 mM) was added to decrease the adsorption of cannabinoids. The Ki<sub>i</sub> current was defined as the component of the current sensitive to 1 mM Ba<sup>2+</sup> elicited during the final 150 ms of a 250-ms hyperpolarizing pulse to −100 mV from a holding potential of −45 mV. Currents were sampled at 1 kHz. The magnitude of the K<sub>i</sub> current depended on cell size, therefore aggregate current data are presented as current densities normalized to cell capacitance. Calcium currents (ICa) were measured with a pipette solution containing (mM): 100 CsCl; 40 HEPES; 10 EGTA; 5 MgCl₂; 3 Na₂ATP; 0.2 GTP; 0.08 leupeptin, pH 7.30 with CsOH. The external solution contained (mM): 160 NaCl; 10 BaCl₂; 4 KCl; 1 MgCl₂; 10 HEPES; 8 glucose, pH 7.35 with NaOH. Tetrodotoxin (200 nM) was added to block sodium currents and fatty acid-free bovine serum albumin was added to decrease the adsorption of cannabinoids. ICa was measured near the end of a 25-ms depolarizing pulse to 0 mV from a holding potential of −90 mV and was defined as that component of the current sensitive to 100 mM CdCl₂. Currents were sampled at 4 kHz. To control for possible response variations with passage number and to avoid sources of systematic bias, experimental and control measurements were alternated whenever possible and concurrent controls were always performed.
LY320135 had a greater than 70-fold higher affinity for the CB1 receptor than the CB2 receptor expressed in CHO cells (CHO-CB2) or rat spleen membranes (an endogenous source of CB2 receptors), respectively. LY320135 failed to completely displace 3H-CP55,940 binding to the CB2 receptor in either spleen or CHO-CB2 membranes because of solubility limitations above 100 μM (fig. 2). Previously reported $K_i$ values for cannabinoid receptor agonists, HU210, CP55940, THC and the endogenous cannabinoid receptor agonist anandamide, were included in table 1 to provide comparisons with known compounds by the same methodology (Felder et al., 1995). $K_i$ ratios of CB1/CB2 receptors are listed in the right-hand column of table 1.

LY320135 was evaluated as a functional antagonist by its ability to reverse the inhibition by anandamide of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation in CHO-CB1 cells (fig. 3A). Anandamide (10 μM) completely attenuated the forskolin-stimulated accumulation of cAMP (fig. 3A). LY320135 reversed the inhibitory effect of anandamide, but also caused an increase in cAMP accumulation above levels observed with forskolin alone. LY320135 (10 μM) alone had no effect...
on cAMP levels (data not shown), but in combination with forskolin, enhanced the accumulation of cAMP (fig. 3A). The antagonist, SR141716A, also reversed the inhibitory effects of anandamide to levels above those observed with forskolin alone (fig. 3B). SR141716A alone had no effect on cAMP accumulation (data not shown), but also displayed similar enhancement of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation. CHO-CB1 cells were pretreated with pertussis toxin to determine whether $G_i$ or $G_o$ mediated the overshoot of cAMP accumulation to levels above those achieved with forskolin alone (fig. 4). Both anandamide and WIN 55,212–2 attenuated forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation in CHO-CB1 cells (fig. 4). In the presence of pertussis toxin, anandamide alone had no effect on cAMP accumulation (data not shown), but augmented the forskolin-stimulated response. Similar results were observed when the aminoalkylindole agonist, WIN55212–2, was substituted for anandamide (fig. 4). Anandamide and WIN 55212–2, when applied alone, with or without pertussis toxin, did not increase cAMP accumulation above basal levels (data not shown). LY320135 blocked the anandamide-mediated augmentation of cAMP accumulation in the presence of pertussis toxin (fig. 5), which suggests that it was mediated through cannabinoid receptor stimulation ($IC_{50} = 734 \pm 122 \text{nM}$). Indomethacin (10 $\mu$M) had no effect on the accumulation of cAMP in the CHO cell after anandamide and LY320135 addition (data not shown), which suggests that increases in cAMP were not mediated through prostaglandin release. Moreover, concentrations of anandamide and WIN 55212–2 used were below the those shown previously to stimulate arachidonic acid release in CHO cells ($>10 \mu$M) (Felder et al., 1995). These results suggest that the CB1 receptor couples to the stimulation of cAMP accumulation and that the stimulation is unmasked when the inhibitory response is blocked with pertussis toxin.

The selectivity of LY320135 for other G protein-coupled receptors was evaluated in a radioligand binding screening assay (table 2). LY320135 had a 15-fold greater selectivity for the CB1 receptor than muscarinic receptors, and at least a 45-fold greater selectivity than benzodiazepine and 5-HT2 receptors. All other receptors tested in table 2 had affinities for LY320135 at least 70-fold higher than for the CB1 receptor.

![Fig. 4.](image)

**Fig. 4.** The effect of pertussis toxin on anandamide- and WIN 55212–2-mediated inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation in CHO-CB1 cells. Forskolin (500 nM)-stimulated cAMP accumulation was measured in CHO-CB1 cells, in the presence and absence of anandamide or WIN 55212–2, as described under "Materials and Methods." Pertussis toxin (10 ng/ml) was added 18 hr before the measurement of cAMP accumulation. Data are the mean $\pm$ S.E.M. of at least three experiments, each performed in triplicate. (FRSK, forskolin; ANM, 1 $\mu$M anandamide; WIN-2, 50 nM WIN 55212–2)

![Fig. 5.](image)

**Fig. 5.** Effect of LY320135 on anandamide-mediated inhibition of cAMP accumulation in pertussis toxin-treated CHO-CB1 cells. Forskolin (500 nM)-stimulated cAMP accumulation was measured in CHO-CB1 cells, as described under "Materials and Methods." Pertussis toxin (10 ng/ml) was added 18 hr before the measurement of cAMP accumulation. In the presence of pertussis toxin,LY320135 blocked the anandamide + forskolin-mediated stimulation of cAMP accumulation ($IC_{50} = 734 \pm 122 \text{nM}$). Data are the mean $\pm$ S.D. of two experiments, each performed in triplicate. (FRSK, forskolin; ANM, 1 $\mu$M anandamide; LY, LY320135)

### TABLE 2

Comparison of $K_i$ values for competition of LY320135 for binding to several selected neurotransmitter receptors

LY320135 was competed against ligands selective for the listed receptor. Plasma membranes were prepared from tissues expressing the receptor of interest as described under "Materials and Methods." Data are the mean $\pm$ S.E.M. of at least three experiments, each performed in triplicate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>$K_i$ $\mu$M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-1 adrenergic</td>
<td>45.3 $\pm$ 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-2 adrenergic</td>
<td>17.5 $\pm$ 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha adrenergic</td>
<td>$&lt;100$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_1$</td>
<td>897 $\pm$ 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_2$</td>
<td>441 $\pm$ 33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepine</td>
<td>$&gt;10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histamine</td>
<td>12.9 $\pm$ 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$-Aminobutyric acid</td>
<td>$&gt;10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT3</td>
<td>6.4 $\pm$ 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscarinic</td>
<td>2.1 $\pm$ 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There was a tendency for over-recovery of $I_{\text{Ca}}$ after applications of the higher concentrations of LY320135. After the addition of 1 mM LY320135, the current returned to 116 ± 10% of its original level ($n = 9$, data not shown). The effects of LY320135 could not be reversed by washing for up to 5 min (data not shown).

CB-1 receptors also activate an inwardly rectifying potassium current ($K_{\text{ir}}$) (Mackie et al., 1995). Pretreatment of AtT-20-CB1 cells with 1 mM LY320135 blocked WIN 55212–2-mediated stimulation of $K_{\text{ir}}$; however, the current was still activated by 200 nM somatostatin (fig. 6C). LY320135 by itself had little effect on $K_{\text{ir}}$ (0.78 ± 0.82 pA/pF, $n = 4$) (fig. 6D). In control cells, 100 nM WIN 55212–2 activates $K_{\text{ir}}$ by an average of 10.9 ± 3.2 pA/pF ($n = 6$). In cells exposed to 1 mM LY320135, activation of $K_{\text{ir}}$ by WIN 55212–2 was suppressed completely (0.08 ± 0.32 pA/pF, $n = 5$). However, somatostatin still activated the $K_{\text{ir}}$ in the presence of LY320135 (17.8 ± 2.0 pA/pF, $n = 4$) (data not shown).

**Discussion**

LY320135 was selected as a lead compound from a series of potential cannabinoid receptor antagonists because it displayed the highest affinity for the CB1 subtype of the cannabinoid receptor. This compound is a benzofuran analog structurally distinct from the previously described aminoalkylindole or pyrazole cannabinoid receptor antagonists and may provide a novel approach to cannabinoid receptor antagonist development. LY320135 displayed a $K_i$ of 141 nM for the CB1 receptor ectopically expressed in a mammalian cell line and an essentially equal affinity for CB1 receptors endogenously expressed in rat cerebellum. LY320135 had a relatively low affinity for the CB2 receptor ($K_i = 10 \mu M$) and ten other unrelated receptors (table 2), and therefore offers good selectivity for the CB1 receptor. Further modifications in the structure of LY320135 are currently being evaluated for cannabinoid receptor antagonist activity. The structure of LY320135 offers considerably more acces-
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**Discussion**

LY320135 was selected as a lead compound from a series of potential cannabinoid receptor antagonists because it displayed the highest affinity for the CB1 subtype of the cannabinoid receptor. This compound is a benzofuran analog structurally distinct from the previously described aminoalkylindole or pyrazole cannabinoid receptor antagonists and may provide a novel approach to cannabinoid receptor antagonist development. LY320135 displayed a $K_i$ of 141 nM for the CB1 receptor ectopically expressed in a mammalian cell line and an essentially equal affinity for CB1 receptors endogenously expressed in rat cerebellum. LY320135 had a relatively low affinity for the CB2 receptor ($K_i = 10 \mu M$) and ten other unrelated receptors (table 2), and therefore offers good selectivity for the CB1 receptor. Further modifications in the structure of LY320135 are currently being evaluated for cannabinoid receptor antagonist activity. The structure of LY320135 offers considerably more acces-
sibility for structural modification than SR141716A and may be less toxic when administered in vivo.

LY320135 was a functional antagonist for anandamide-mediated inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation. During the course of these experiments, an overshoot of cAMP accumulation elicited by LY320135 was discovered which suggested that cannabinoid receptors couple to the stimulation of cAMP accumulation which is masked by the inhibitory component coupled through G_i. In the presence of pertussis toxin, LY320135 blocked the anandamide-mediated stimulation of cAMP accumulation, which demonstrates for the first time that the stimulatory response is regulated through the CB1 receptor. The LY320135-mediated reversal of the stimulatory effect had an IC_{50} of 734 nM which is less potent than the 141 nM IC_{50} observed for LY320135 in competition experiments, which suggests that the functional response is complex and possibly mediated by multiple effectors. Indomethacin had no effect on the stimulatory phase of cAMP accumulation, which suggests that it was not mediated through prostaglandin production as previously demonstrated in fibroblasts (Hillard and Bloom, 1983). Additional studies have observed cannabinoid agonist-induced increases in cAMP in fibroblasts (Kelly and Butler, 1979) and mouse brain (Dolby and Kleinsmith, 1974), but the response was not directly linked to cannabinoid receptor stimulation. Other G_i-linked receptors have been shown to stimulate cAMP accumulation after pertussis toxin pretreatment, such as alpha-2 adrenergic (Federman et al., 1992) and muscarinic m4 receptors (Jones et al., 1991). However, in CHO cells, increases in cAMP were observed only after adenylate cyclase was first stimulated with forskolin. These findings are similar to those observed in liver (Hillard et al., 1986) and cardiac cell membranes (Hillard et al., 1990) in which THC stimulated increases in cAMP, only in combination with glucagon or isoproterenol, respectively. These results are consistent with the regulation of type II or IV adenylate cyclase by beta-subunits of G proteins (Tang and Gilman, 1991). The beta effect also requires an initial priming of the adenylate cyclase. It is not know which subtypes of adenylate cyclase are expressed in the CHO cell.

The mismatch in K_i and IC_{50} for LY320135 and SR141716A may be caused by the coupling of the CB1 receptor to both G_i mediating inhibition of adenylate cyclase, and to an unknown stimulatory component resulting in increases in cAMP accumulation. The inhibitory effect appears to dominate over the stimulatory component because the stimulatory component can only be observed when the inhibitory component is reduced by either antagonist or pertussis toxin treatment. A Schild analysis would not be practical under these circumstances because of the complex nature of these second messenger responses.

LY320135 was a functional antagonist for WIN 55212–2-mediated inhibition of N-type voltage-dependent calcium currents and stimulation of K_{Ca} current. By itself, LY320135 had no effect on either current or on modulation of the currents by other agonists. Under the conditions used for evaluating N-type currents, LY320135 was potent and irreversible during the 5 min of wash perfusion. In addition, application of LY320135 resulted in an overshoot (> 100% recovery in fig. 6B) in the WIN 55212–2-mediated inhibition of N-current. This result is similar to the overshoot observed in the cAMP response. The mechanism of the overshoot of calcium channel recovery may be related to activation of the cannabinoid receptor and is currently being investigated.

Development of cannabinoid receptor selective antagonists will provide the tools necessary to more fully understand the cannabinoid receptors both in the central nervous system and in the peripheral immune system. Although the CB1 and CB2 receptors have very similar pharmacological selectivity for cannabinoid agonists, it is apparent that there are significant differences in the binding pocket of these two receptors because of their differential selectivity for the currently available cannabinoids. Use of antagonists in studies of cannabinoid receptor biology will be useful in separating receptor-dependent from receptor-independent effects of cannabinoid agonists. A larger arsenal of cannabinoid receptor antagonists will be helpful in characterizing known as well as new cannabinoid receptor subtypes, should they be discovered.
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